

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV	TERY (CI)		
1	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT N	IO:		
<b>AIRS ID#:</b> 1010493 <b>DAT</b>	E: <u>6/22/07</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:03</u>	DEPART: <u>3:01</u>		
FACILITY NAME: RINKER MATERIALS OF FLORIDA, INC.					
FACILITY LOCATION: 3946 COPELAND BLVD.					
	ZEPHERHILLS 33542				
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIA	AL: JEFFREY PORTER	PHO	<b>NE:</b> (561)820-8415		
CONTACT NAME: Nick Fisher		PHO	NE:		
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITLI	EMENT PERIOD: 9/24/20 (effective			
PART I: INSPECTION O	COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	eck 🗹 only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE	E MINOR Non-COMP	PLIANCE SIGNIFIC.	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?					
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?					
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?					
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then					
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and a) Was the batching	nd continue on to question 5.) g operation in operation during	the visible emissions test?			
b) During the visib	ole emissions test, was the batch	ing rate representative of the			
5. If emissions from the from the silo dust co	he weigh hopper (batcher) opera ollector, are the visible emission	ation are controlled by a dust ns tests of the weigh hopper	collector, which is separate		
	5				

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	Yes
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	ng □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)						
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))						
	<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take	reasonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by:						
<ul> <li>a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yar</li> </ul>						
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stoc</li> </ol>		⊠Yes □ No				
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control					
emissions?						
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other particulate	aved areas under control of the owner/operato	or to				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to re	educe airborne particulate matter?	⊠Yes □ No				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind						
particulate matter from stock piles?		⊠Yes □ No				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate e						
o) use of spray our, enace, or partial encrosure of	missions at the Grop point to the trace.	<b>△10</b> 0				
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - RE	ale 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.					
A. New or Modified Process Equipment						
1. Since the last inspection has there been						
a) installation of any new process equipment?						
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?						
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially differ		□Yes ⊠ No				
		$\square_{\mathbf{V}_{23}} \boxtimes_{\mathbf{N}_{0}}$				
	recent notification form?					
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete						
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?   Yes N						
local program office?		□Yes □ No				
Neal B. Janis	6/28/07					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	<del>_</del>				
	2					
	1 year					
	1 year					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_				
inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next inspection					
COMMENTS: approx. 100,000 TPY material & 90000 Gal. low st	ılfer diesel					